

OLIVECAN: A BIOPHYSICAL MODEL OF OLIVE ORCHARDS CAPABLE OF SIMULATING RESPONSES TO FUTURE CLIMATIC SCENARIOS

Luca Testi, Álvaro López-Bernal, Francisco J. Villalobos

University of Córdoba and IAS-CSIC

Baeza, 2-3 November, 2017











Global Land and Ocean Temperature Anomalies, January-December



Source: NOAA





37.8°N, 4.8°W December Temperature Anomalies

Source: NOAA

What is going to happen?



NOAA GFDL CM2.1 Climate Model



Source: NOAA





CHANGE IN PRECIPITATION BY END OF 21st CENTURY







worst scenarios forecasts for the Mediterranean basin at the end of the 21th century



 CO_2 concentration : 700-800 ppm



temperature: +2 / +3 °C



rainfall: -10% / -30%



What are the expected effects of these new conditions? Let's analyze some processes qualitatively:

green = positive effect

grey = neutral or depending on site/time conditions
yellow= negative effect

Why do we need a model?



[CO₂] in air Temperature Precipitation

increased photosynthesis rate increased water use efficiency

complex effect over stomatal opening

complex effect over photosynthesis (depends) major effect on phenology (chill, cycles...) increased ET and water requirements increased respiration

heat shocks (flowering...)

water stress

lower growth, photosynthesis and C assimilation in rainfed conditions
increased irrigation needs

Why do we need a model?



It is self-evident that the system is too complex

way too complex to make reliable forecasts about yield, consumptions, risks or requirements for olive crop in changed conditions without the help of a biophysical model, as mechanistic as possible.

OliveCan (V3)



F	Dr LLL = 1 TO N_ZONES
N	ext LLL
•	Root length density is calculate for each layer of soil (rlv =
	If (nx < n layer) Then
F	or LLL = 1 To N_ZONES
F	or l = nx + 1 To n_layer
	RLV(1, LLL) = 0
	Next 1
	Next LLL
	End IT
	End If
	sum_grofrt = sum_grofrt + grofrt
	<pre>inite #12, DOY, GROFR(1, 1), SENESCENCE_FR(1, 1), GROFRA(1, 1)</pre>
1	Stop
_	
с с	to sub
;	
	CALCULATES DOODEDTH INCREMENT BASED ON THERMAL TIME
1	DURANTE EL REPOSO INVERNAL NO SE PRODUCE CRECIMIENTO
	If (JSTAGE <= 1 Or JSTAGE = 5) Then
	RDGROWTH = 0
	Else
1	EL CRECIMIENTO RESPONDE AL TIEMPO TÉRMICO EMPLEANDO LA TEMPERATURA
	RDGROWTH = THERMAL_TIME_DAY(tmax, tmin, TBASE_TREE) * ROOT_DEPTH
	End IT
	root_depth = root_depth + RDGROWTH
	root_deptn = MiN(root_deptn, soil_depth)
Е	nd Sub
s	ub UPDATE_YEAR_GROWTH()
1	EAVES, BRANCHES AND WOOD YEARLY GROWTHS ARE UPDATED FOR SENESCENCY
	F T OPTION PHOT - & Then
Ť	T_OLITOW_HOL = 0 1060
	cum_biomass_C = cum_biomass / (1 + 1.5 * HI * RATIO_OIL_DRY)
	verstative bismass - sum bismass C VIELD
	<pre>/egetative_biomass = cum_biomass_C - YIELD</pre>

- Result of 20 years of research
 - Originated from the combination of several models aimed at simulating more specific processes (Mariscal et al. 2000, Bonachela et al. 2001, De Melo-Abreu et al. 2004, Testi et al. 2006, Morales et al. 2016, García-Tejera et al. 2017, etc...)
 - Complex model, mechanistical approach, developed as a research tool
 - Continuous development (still in progress!)

Show output from

OliveCan description





All processes are simulated at daily time steps except for those marked with an "*" Processes marked with an "*" are simulated at customizable sub-day time steps





How much from the rest of the soil?

The average water content or potential is useless

How much water comes from the wet bulb?

OliveCan description – Water Balance

The soil is sub-divided in three multi-layer compartments representing the fractions of soil

- wetted by emitters
- covered by grass cover crop, when simulated
- neither wetted nor covered by grass

In the canopy, two leaf classes are considered (sun & shade)



Cover crop fraction Dry fraction Wetted fraction







- -







Alternate bearing:

Yield calculated by Yield Components (or fixed HI, user decides)

YC approach allows to account for the dynamic nature of the fruit number (alternate bearing)







And a the construction of the second second

Operation	Options	Inputs	Impacts
HARVEST		Date	Yield
TILLAGE	(0) No tillage (1) Tillage	Date	Curve Number
PRUNING	(0) Burn/exported (1) Incorporated	Dates, pruning interval, pruning fraction, residues management switch	Biomass of leaves, shoots and branches; org. matter decomp. [if burn=1]; NEE
COVER CROP	(0) Bare soil (1) Cover crop	Sowing and harvest dates, fraction of soil covered	Water balance, NEE
IRRIGATION	(0) Rainfed(1) Irrigation plan(2) Irrigation program	Strategical params Dates and amounts	Water balance

OliveCan options (I)





OliveCan options (II)



-5----

- ----

Number of fruits	(0) Not simulated (1) Simulated
Root lenght density	(0) Fixed and static (1) Dynamic
Leaf Area Density	 (0) Constant LAD (1) LAD is a function of tree height (2) LAD is a function of canopy volume
Phenology -	(0) Fixed phenology dates selected as inputs(1) De Melo-Abreu et al.
Soil Evaporation	(0) based on Bonachela et al.(1) Based on resistances
Drainage	(0) drainage is impeded (1) Drainage is simulated
Curve Number	(0) Fixed (1) Based on Gomez et al.



- Around 100 parameters
- Literature sources and dedicated experiments
- Literature gaps in some cases (use of reserves, alternate bearing, fruit photosynthesis, dynamics of root growth)
- Cultivar-specific parameters only available for the simulation of flowering and frost vulnerability

OliveCan – Inputs



- Latitude, altitude
 - **Tree spacing**
- Canopy dimensions (ground cover, Rzx, LAD, LAI)
 - Maximum and minimum daily temperature (°C)
- **Daily solar radiation** (MJ/m2/d)
- **Average daily wind speed** (m/s)
- **Rainfall** (mm/d)
 - Vapour pressure (kPa)
- Soil depth
- Soil textural classes
- Water content limits (saturation, field capacity, wilting points)
 - Others (pH, organic matter, slope)
- Harvest date
- **Pruning** date, frequency and 'intensity' (*when required*) ٠
- Daily **irrigation** amounts and fraction of soil wetted by emitters (*when irrigated*) ٠
- **Grass cover crop management**: emergence and removal dates and fraction of soil ۲ covered) (when a cover crop is used)

Weather

Drchard

OliveCan – Outputs



Phenology

- Full flowering date
- Bud break date

Yield components

- Yield
- Number of fruits

Water balance components

• ET, Transpiration, Drainage Runoff

Tree growth

• Time course of canopy dimensions (LAI, GC. LAD)

Carbon balance components

- NEE, GPP
- Soil respiration
- WUE

....and many others



- There is no nutrient balance nor nutrient stress effects (NPK or micro). This means that only optimal fertilization conditions are considered.
- There is no salinity or toxicity effects routines. Sorry, no salt.
- There is no modelling of pests or diseases damage.
- There is no "oil quality" simulation. We are very far from simulating the biological synthesis of oil chemical components. Some semi-empirical approach may be possible in the near future, though.
- No cultivar sensitivity so far, except (partially) for phenology. This is a main path of development for the near future (an ongoing AGL project is targeting this issue)

Validation examples



Data from three-year experiments in two high density olive orchards with different irrigation treatments (Moriana et al. 2003, Iniesta et al. 2009)





Data from three-year experiments in two high density olive orchards with different irrigation treatments (Moriana et al. 2003, Iniesta et al. 2009)







- Complete model, capable of integrating environment x tree x management interactions
- Mechanistic approach suitable to work with future atm conditions (CO₂)
- Simulates any kind of olive orchard and irrigation (or rainfed) management
- Provide information at different time scales (hourly, daily, annual)
- Potential for ideotyping, assessing climate change impacts or estimating CO2 sequestration capacity, among others







García-Tejera, O., López-Bernal, Á., Orgaz, F., Testi, L., Villalobos, F.J., 2017. Analysing the combined effect of wetted area and irrigation volume on olive tree transpiration using a SPAC model with a multi-compartment soil solution. Irrig Sci 35, 409-423.



OLIVECAN: A BIOPHYSICAL MODEL OF OLIVE ORCHARDS CAPABLE OF SIMULATING RESPONSES TO FUTURE CLIMATIC SCENARIOS

Thanks for your attention.

Luca Testi, Álvaro López-Bernal, Francisco J. Villalobos University of Córdoba and IAS-CSIC

